

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/653,072 09/03/2003		09/03/2003	John R. McCullough	4821-524-999	2010	
20582	7590 07/27/2006			EXAMINER		
JONES DA	Y		HUI, SAN MING R			
51 Louisiana	Avenue 1	٧.W.				
Washington,	DC 200	01-2113	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
_				1617	1617	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/653,072	2	MCCULLOUGH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		San-ming H	lui	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THI FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONET	N. nety filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on . This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the communication (s) filed on . This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the communication (s) filed on .	This action is no lowance except f	or formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 25-34 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and company is/are.	nd/or election re	quirement.	-vaminer				
 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	B/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	D-152)			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The application is a divisional of US Serial 09/809,165, filed 3/16/2001, now patent 6,632,827, which is a continuation of US Serial 09/573,423, filed 5/18/2000, now patent 6,242,465, which is a continuation of US Serial 09/123,892, filed 7/28/1998, now patent 6,147,093, which is a continuation-in-part of US Serial 08/905,941, filed 8/5/1997, now patent 5,877,188, which is a division of US Serial 08/684,753, filed 7/19/1996, now patent 5,739,151.

The preliminary amendments filed September 3, 2003 have been entered.

Claims 25-34 are pending.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,242,465 ('465). This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,072

Art Unit: 1617

Both the instant claim 34 and the conflicting claim encompass the very same scope of the invention. Claim 1 of '465 recited a composition comprising (+)-norcisapride and secondary agents.

Claims 26 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 5 of prior U.S. Patent 6,632,827 ('827). This is a double patenting rejection.

Both the instant claims 26 and 34 and the conflicting claims encompass the very same scope of the invention. Claims 1 and 5 of '827 recited a composition comprising (+)-norcisapride and pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or excipient.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 25-33 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,242,465 ('465). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '465 recites a number of more specific compositions, which are encompassed by the instant claims. Specifically, '465 teaches compositions comprising (+)-norcisapride with a secondary agents. Although '465 does not expressly teach the herein claimed dosage forms or dosage, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to formulate (+)-norcisapride, in the herein claimed dosage, into the herein claimed dosage forms since formulating the well-known compound into a conventional dosage forms such as the herein claimed ones is obvious as being within the purview of skilled artisan. Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (dosage range, dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan.

Claims 25, 27-33 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,632,827 ('827). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '827 recites specific compositions comprising (+)-norcisapride and pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and excipients, which are encompassed by the instant claims. Furthermore, '827 teaches specific composition comprising (+)-norcisapride with or without secondary agents.

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

San-ming Hui / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617